

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/652,477	SOKOL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hung T. Nguyen	2636	

All Participants:

(1) Hung T. Nguyen.

(2) Magid Ibassam.

Status of Application: Final Rejection

(3) doughlas H. Goldhush.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 August 2004

Time: 1 P.M

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 1-2 & 6

Prior art documents discussed:

Ahne et al. (U.S. 6,133,844) , Naylor (U.S. 5,506,767) and Ross e tal. (U.S. 5,027,112)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant will submit amendment after the Final Rejections.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Hung T. Nguyen
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

[Signature]
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)